# Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

## Article

#### Subscriber access provided by American Chemical Society

# Synthesis and SAR of 5-Amino- and 5-(Aminomethyl)benzofuran Histamine H Receptor Antagonists with Improved Potency

Minghua Sun, Chen Zhao, Gregory A. Gfesser, Christine Thiffault, Thomas R. Miller, Kennan Marsh, Jill Wetter, Michael Curtis, Ramin Faghih, Timothy A. Esbenshade, Arthur A. Hancock, and Marlon Cowart *J. Med. Chem.*, **2005**, 48 (20), 6482-6490• DOI: 10.1021/jm0504398 • Publication Date (Web): 13 September 2005

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 28, 2009



### **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 12 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML



# Synthesis and SAR of 5-Amino- and 5-(Aminomethyl)benzofuran Histamine H<sub>3</sub> Receptor Antagonists with Improved Potency

Minghua Sun,\* Chen Zhao, Gregory A. Gfesser, Christine Thiffault, Thomas R. Miller, Kennan Marsh, Jill Wetter, Michael Curtis, Ramin Faghih, Timothy A. Esbenshade, Arthur A. Hancock, and Marlon Cowart\*

Neuroscience Research, Global Pharmaceutical Research Division, Abbott Laboratories, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, Illinois 60064-6123

#### Received May 9, 2005

A new series of  $H_3$  receptor antagonists was discovered with nanomolar and subnanomolar affinities at human and rat  $H_3$  receptors. Starting from an earlier, more structurally limited series of benzofurans, the present series of compounds demonstrated increased structural variety and flexibility with greater in vitro potency. One compound in particular,  $\{2-[2-(2-(R)-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]$ benzofuran-5-yl}(5-nitropyridin-2-yl)amine (**7h**), gave the best binding potency (human  $K_i$  of 0.05 nM, rat  $K_i$  of 0.11 nM), which represented a 9-fold (in human) and an 11-fold (in rat) improvement over ABT-239 (compound **5**), a compound previously reported to have excellent in vitro potency and in vivo efficacy. The synthesis, SAR of the  $H_3$  binding affinities, in vitro assay for phospholipidosis, and pharmacokinetic properties of the new compounds are described.

#### Introduction

The effects of histamine are mediated in the central nervous system (CNS) and the periphery through four known receptors, H1, H2, H3, and H4.1 Histamine H1 and H<sub>2</sub> receptors have been successfully targeted, and therapeutic agents have been developed to treat conditions such as allergies  $(H_1 \text{ receptor antagonists})$  and gastric ulcers ( $H_2$  receptor antagonists). The newly discovered H<sub>4</sub> receptor is found in immune cells, suggesting a role in regulating inflammatory responses.<sup>2</sup> The H<sub>3</sub> receptor, primarily located on nerve terminals and in the CNS, modulates the production and release of histamine.<sup>3</sup> Pharmacological blockade of H<sub>3</sub> receptors enhances the release of histamine and other neurotransmitters<sup>1</sup> and has been shown to enhance vigilance or alertness.<sup>4</sup> Consequently, H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists are thought to have potential as drug therapies for ADHD, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, epilepsy, and sleep disorders.<sup>5</sup> Early generations of H<sub>3</sub> antagonists were based on structures containing the imidazole moiety found in histamine; some of these compounds, most prominently ciproxifan (1), have become reference standards for H<sub>3</sub> pharmacological research. However, the imidazole moiety has been associated with CYP450 inhibition,<sup>6</sup> leading to potential drug-drug interactions through inhibition of the metabolism of coadministered drugs. For this reason, recent efforts have been directed toward the discovery of H<sub>3</sub> antagonists without an imidazole moiety. Several recently disclosed classes of nonimidazoles, such as 2,<sup>7a</sup> 3,7b and 48 (Figure 1), share common structural features of the amine-alkoxy-phenyl pharmacophore.

Recently, a series of compounds that have a more rigid ethylbenzofuran moiety to replace the previously de-



Figure 1. Structures of representative H<sub>3</sub> antagonists.

scribed alkoxy-phenyl moiety was reported, as exemplified by ABT-239 (5).<sup>9</sup> The 2-aminoethylbenzofuran-



based  $H_3$  antagonist **5** had nanomolar potency at  $H_3$  receptors and was efficacious in different rodent models of cognition and attention at doses of 0.01-0.1 mg/kg. With the goal of extending the SAR of the series, improving binding potency, and possibly increasing efficacy in behavioral models, two new subclasses of  $H_3$ antagonists were investigated. In the new series, the 2-(2R)-methylpyrrolidinylethylbenzofuran moiety was retained, but 5-aminomethyl or 5-amino linkers were inserted between the benzofuran nucleus and the lipophilic aromatic ring to increase the overall flexibility, as exemplified by **6** and **7**. The precursor (compound **11**, Scheme 1) to compound **6** was a dibasic amine, a feature associated with a propensity to induce phospholipidosis.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. M.S.: Phone, (847) 938-6716; Fax, (847) 937-9195; e-mail, sun.minghua@abbott.com. M.C.: Phone, (847) 938-8170, Fax, (847) 937-9195; e-mail, marlon.d.cowart@abbott.com.

Scheme 1. Preparation of 5-Arylaminomethylbenzofuran Derivatives<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (i) KI, I<sub>2</sub>, in NH<sub>4</sub>OH; (ii) Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, Cy<sub>2</sub>P(biphenylyl), CuI, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>3</sub>CN; (iii) H<sub>2</sub>, Ra/Ni, 20% NH<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH; (iv) method A, 1.2 equiv ArX, Et<sub>3</sub>N, ethanol, 75 °C; method B, 1.2 equiv ArX, DIPEA, dioxane, 100 °C; method C, 1.5 equiv ArX, Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>, BINAP, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, toluene, 100 °C.

Scheme 2. Preparation of 5-Arylaminobenzofuran Derivatives<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (i) KI, I<sub>2</sub>, in NH<sub>4</sub>OH; (ii) **9**, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, Cy<sub>2</sub>P(biphenylyl), CuI, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>3</sub>CN; (iii) 60 psi H<sub>2</sub>, 10% Pd/C, methanol; (iv) method A, 1.2 equiv ArX, Et<sub>3</sub>N, ethanol, 75 °C; method B, 1.2 equiv ArX, DIPEA, dioxane, 100 °C; method C, 1.5 equiv ArX, Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>, BINAP, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, toluene, 100 °C; (v) LiHMDS, Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>, Cy<sub>2</sub>P(biphenylyl), aq HCl quench and then 2 N NaOH.

This propensity was reduced when the primary amine was arylated to give series **6** compounds, a change that served to reduce the basicity of one of the amines.

#### Chemistry

As shown in Scheme 1, commercially available 4-cyanophenol was treated with potassium iodide and iodine (1.0 equiv) in aqueous ammonium hydroxide to give 2-iodo-4-cyanophenol (8).<sup>10,11</sup> The reaction mixture contained trace amounts of unreacted starting material and the diiodo phenol, neither of which affected the next step of the sequence. Iodide 8 was coupled with alkyne 9 under Sonogashira conditions<sup>12-14</sup> and subsequently cyclized to form benzofuran 10. 5-Cyanobenzofuran 10 was cleanly hydrogenated to benzylic amine 11 in the presence of Raney nickel. This intermediate was used to prepare the target compounds (**6a**-**6r**) by reacting with a variety of aryl halides. Depending on the nature of the aryl halides, this coupling could be accomplished either by simple displacement of electron-deficient heteroaryl halides or, in the case of more resistant substrates, the Pd-catalyzed coupling.<sup>15–17</sup>

As outlined in Scheme 2, the iodination of commercially available 4-nitrophenol with KI/I<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>4</sub>OH afforded iodide **12**. Compared to 4-cyanophenol, the iodination of 4-nitrophenol was much more sluggish, proceeding to only about 50% completion, even after 5 days at room temperature. It should be noted that attempts to iodinate the 4-nitrophenol by alternative conditions, such as sodium hypochlorite and sodium iodide<sup>18</sup> or iodine/silver (I) triflate,<sup>19</sup> were unsuccessful. Purification of the crude reaction mixture, which contained **12** and unreacted starting material, was unnecessary, as the subsequent reaction with **9** proceeded cleanly to form the benzofuran **13** and the 4-nitrophenol could be removed at this step. 5-Nitrobenzofuran 13 was reduced to 5-aminobenzofuran 14 under 60 psi of hydrogen in the presence of 10% palladium on carbon. Alternatively, 5-aminobenzofuran 14 could also be synthesized from 5-bromobenzofuran 15,<sup>9a,20</sup> using lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide as the nitrogen source, under palladium catalysis.<sup>21</sup> The target compounds (7a-7k) were made by arylation at the amino group of 14 with aryl halides, using the same conditions as for the preparation of 6a-6r.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The compounds described here were tested in in vitro binding assays<sup>22</sup> in membranes isolated from cells transfected with both cloned rat H<sub>3</sub> receptors and cloned human H<sub>3</sub> receptors. The binding affinities with different aromatic substitution on the 5-aminomethylbenzofuran system are summarized in Table 1. The primary amine intermediate, compound 11, was also tested in the binding assay and was found to be very potent, with  $K_{\rm i}$  values of 0.1 and 0.27 nM for human and rat receptors, respectively. But as a compound of potential interest, compound 11 suffered from a propensity to slow oxidation in air to the corresponding aldehyde. Derivatization of the primary amine **11** with aromatic and heteroaromatic halides resulted in compounds 6a-6r. With the goal of improving potency over 5 in mind, it was not clear if the flexible linker with carbon and nitrogen atoms would be tolerated. 2-Nitro (6a) and 3-cyano (6d) analogues showed slightly better binding potencies than compound 5, while 4-nitro and 4-trifluoroacetyl analogues (6b, 6c) were less potent at both human and rat receptors. Substituted pyridines as the aromatic moiety resulted in substantial improvement in potencies, with the 2,6-dicyanopyridine analogue (**6o**) Table 1. Binding Affinities  $(pK_i \text{ and } K_i)$  of 5-Arylaminomethylbenzofurans at Human H<sub>3</sub> and Rat H<sub>3</sub> Receptors<sup>*a*</sup>



		6a-6r			
		human ${ m H_3}^b$		$\mathrm{rat}\mathrm{H_3}^b$	
compd	Ar substituent	$ m p {\it K}_i \pm SEM$	Ki (nM)	$pK_{ m i}\pm{ m SEM}$	Ki (nM)
5		$9.35\pm0.04$	0.45	$8.91\pm0.05$	1.23
6a	2-nitrophenyl	$9.50\pm0.17$	0.31	$9.06 \pm 0.22$	0.87
6b	4-nitrophenyl	$9.13 \pm 0.13$	0.74	$8.67\pm0.19$	2.12
6c	4-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)phenyl	$9.13 \pm 0.08$	0.74	$8.63\pm0.19$	2.36
6d	3-cyanophenyl	$9.40\pm0.14$	0.40	$8.93 \pm 0.21$	1.18
<b>6e</b>	6-chloropyridazin-3-yl	$9.51\pm0.08$	0.31	$8.80\pm0.10$	1.58
<b>6f</b>	3-cyanopyrazin-2-yl	$8.98\pm0.09$	1.04	$8.40\pm0.18$	3.96
6g	pyrazin-2-yl	$9.53 \pm 0.04$	0.29	$9.09 \pm 0.07$	0.80
6 <b>h</b>	5-bromopyrimidin-2-yl	$8.91\pm0.09$	1.22	$8.40\pm0.16$	4.02
6i	pyrimidin-5-yl	$9.16\pm0.06$	0.69	$8.60\pm0.14$	2.51
6j	5-ethylpyrimidin-2-yl	$9.48 \pm 0.03$	0.33	$9.24\pm0.18$	0.58
6k	pyrimidin-2-yl	$9.07\pm0.11$	0.84	$8.48\pm0.11$	3.27
61	5-nitrothiazol-2-yl	$9.72\pm0.17$	0.19	$9.17\pm0.01$	0.68
6m	3-nitropyridin-2-yl	$9.36 \pm 0.10$	0.43	$8.57\pm0.13$	2.71
6n	3,5-dinitropyridin-2-y l	$9.15\pm0.03$	0.71	$8.54\pm0.07$	2.88
60	2,6-dicyanopyridin-4-yl	$9.88 \pm 0.04$	0.13	$9.40\pm0.14$	0.40
6р	3-cyanopyridin-2-yl	$9.13\pm0.14$	0.74	$8.72\pm0.26$	1.92
6q	5-cyanopyridin-2-yl	$9.46 \pm 0.12$	0.34	$8.85\pm0.17$	1.42
6 <b>r</b>	5-nitropyridin-2-yl	$9.52\pm0.12$	0.30	$8.93\pm0.14$	1.19

<sup>*a*</sup> Binding potencies were assessed by displacement of [<sup>3</sup>H]-*N*- $\alpha$ -methylhistamine, using cloned human H<sub>3</sub> receptors and cloned rat H<sub>3</sub> receptors.  $pK_i = -\log K_i$ . <sup>*b*</sup> The values are reported as average of  $n \ge 3$  independent measurements for all compounds.

**Table 2.** Binding Affinities ( $pK_i$  and  $K_i$ ) of 5-Arylaminobenzofurans at Human H<sub>3</sub> and Rat H<sub>3</sub> Receptors<sup>*a*</sup>



		human H	$a_3^{b}$	$\operatorname{rat} \operatorname{H}_3{}^b$	
compd	Ar substituent	$\mathrm{p}K_\mathrm{i}\pm\mathrm{SEM}$	Ki (nM)	$\mathrm{p}K_\mathrm{i}\pm\mathrm{SEM}$	Ki (nM)
5		$9.35\pm0.04$	0.45	$8.91\pm0.05$	1.23
7a	2-nitrophenyl	$9.66 \pm 0.07$	0.22	$9.06\pm0.13$	0.87
7b	4-cyanophenyl	$9.57\pm0.06$	0.27	$8.87 \pm 0.14$	1.35
7c	3-cyanophenyl	$9.53 \pm 0.07$	0.29	$8.83 \pm 0.15$	1.47
7d	5-ethyl-pyrimidin-2-yl	$9.21\pm0.10$	0.62	$8.60\pm0.09$	2.51
<b>7</b> e	pyrimidin-2-yl	$9.51\pm0.12$	0.31	$8.70\pm0.13$	2.01
<b>7f</b>	pyrimidin-5-yl	$10.12\pm0.09$	0.08	$9.57 \pm 0.18$	0.27
7g	pyrazin-2-yl	$9.98\pm0.04$	0.11	$9.42\pm0.13$	0.38
<b>7h</b>	5-nitropyridin-2-yl	$10.32\pm0.14$	0.05	$9.98 \pm 0.12$	0.11
<b>7</b> i	3-nitropyridin-2-yl	$9.87 \pm 0.17$	0.14	$9.45\pm0.13$	0.35
7j	3-cyano-6-methylpyridin-2-yl	$8.53\pm0.06$	2.98	$7.75\pm0.17$	17.90
7k	5-trifluoromethyl-pyridin-2-yl	$9.27\pm0.11$	0.54	$8.56\pm0.14$	2.76

<sup>*a*</sup> Binding potencies were assessed by displacement of [<sup>3</sup>H]-*N*- $\alpha$ -methylhistamine, using cloned human H<sub>3</sub> receptors and cloned rat H<sub>3</sub> receptors. pK<sub>i</sub> =  $-\log K_i$ . <sup>*b*</sup> The values are reported as average of  $n \ge 3$  independent measurements for all compounds.

having a  $K_i$  of 0.13 nM at cloned human receptor and 0.40 nM at cloned rat receptor. Substitution at the 5-position of the pyridyl ring (**6q**, **6r**) is clearly more effective in boosting potency than 3-substitution (**6m**, **6p**) or 3,5-disubstitutions (**6n**). Other heterocycles such as substituted pyrimidines (**6h**-**6k**) were also investigated. Compound **6j** (human  $K_i$  of 0.33 nM, rat  $K_i$  of 0.58 nM), which has a 5-ethyl group, showed slightly better binding potency than **5**, whereas other pyrimidines (**6h**, **6i**, **6k**) were 2-3 times less potent than compound **5** at both receptors. Similar results were observed with the homologous pyrazines and pyridazines (**6e**-**6g**). **6e** and **6g** were about equipotent to compound **5** at both human and rat receptors, and **6f** was half as potent in human and one-third as potent in rat compared with compound 5. One particularly advantageous heterocycle was the 5-nitrothiazol-2-yl group found in **61**, which had twice the potency of compound **5**. Overall, the incremental increase in flexibility induced by interspersing the additional carbon and nitrogen atoms between the benzofuran and lipophilic ring was well tolerated, with the best compounds showing a 2-3-fold improvement of potency over compound **5**.

The SAR of the 5-aminobenzofurans is summarized in Table 2. This series of compounds is also more flexible than compound 5, but compared to compounds 6a-6r, which have carbon and nitrogen atoms between benzofuran and the aromatic ring, compounds 7a-7k have only one nitrogen linking the benzofuran to the aromatic ring. This imparts some flexibility to the benzofuran-

Table 3. Comparison of Substituent SAR for Series 6 and Series 7 Compounds

Ar-	Compd	Human	Rat	Compd	Human	Rat	Human	Rat
Substituent	#	Ki (nM)	Ki (nM)	#	Ki (nM)	Ki (nM)	<i>K</i> i <b>6/7</b>	<i>K</i> i <b>6/7</b>
	6a	0.31	0.87	7a	0.22	0.87	1.41	1.00
O <sub>2</sub> Ń	6d	0.40	1.18	7c	0.29	1.47	1.38	0.80
	6g	0.29	0.80	7g	0.11	0.38	2.64	2.11
≩∕⊂N N	6i	0.69	2.51	7f	0.08	0.27	8.63	9.30
}N>	6k	0.84	3.27	7e	0.31	2.01	2.71	1.63
N N	6j	0.33	0.58	7d	0.62	2.51	0.53	0.23
₽N	6m	0.43	2.71	<b>7</b> i	0.14	0.35	3.07	7.74
	6r	0.30	1.19	7h	0.05	0.11	6.00	10.8

aromatic ring moiety, but less than in the series of compounds 6a-6r. When the aromatic moiety was a substituted phenyl ring (7a-7c, Table 2), the human  $H_3$  binding potencies for all three compounds were slightly better than that of compound 5 (1.5-2.0-fold), whereas the rat potencies were about the same as that of compound 5. Again, as in the previous series (6a-6r), 2-nitro substitution (7a) of the phenyl ring induced the highest potency. When substituted pyridines were used as the aromatic moiety, compounds showed a wide range of potencies. The 5-nitropyridyl-2-yl analogue (7h) gave the best binding potency (human  $K_i$  of 0.05 nM, rat  $K_i$  of 0.11 nM), about 10-fold improvement on both human and rat receptors over compound 5. The 3-nitropyridyl-2-yl analogue (7i) also showed a 3-fold improvement in potency over compound 5. The 5-trifluoromethylpyridyl-2-yl analogue (7k) was slightly less potent than compound 5 (human  $K_i$  of 0.54 nM, rat  $K_i$ of 2.76 nM), whereas the 3-cyano-6-methylpyridyl-2-yl analogue (7j) was significantly less potent than 5 (7fold for human and 15-fold for rat). In this series of compounds, the disubstituted analogue reduced the binding potency, even more so than in the compound 6 series. Among the pyrimidines and pyrazines investigated as aromatic substituents, unlike the compound 6 series, where 5-ethylpyrimidin-2-yl (6j) was slightly better than others, the unsubstituted pyrimidin-5-yl analogue (**7f**) (human  $K_i$  of 0.08 nM, rat  $K_i$  of 0.27 nM) was clearly superior, showing about a 6-fold improvement in potency at human  $H_3$  receptor and a 5-fold potency improvement in rat, compared to compound 5. The pyrazin-2-yl analogue (7g) was also a more potent compound than 5.

In general, comparing compounds with the same substituents (Table 3), analogues in the arylamino series (7) were more potent than those in the arylaminomethyl series (6) at both human and rat  $H_3$  receptors. The only exception to this finding was the 5-ethylpyrimidin-2-yl substituted pair (6j, 7d), where 6j was more potent than 7d, especially in rat binding. The two best compounds

in series 7 (7f, 7h) were clearly more potent than their corresponding series 6 counterparts (6i, 6r), leaving 7f and 7h the most active compounds among either series and representing an advance of 6- and 9-fold in human potency and 5- and 11-fold in rat potency over the parent compound 5.

The dibasic compound 11 is highly potent at  $H_3$ receptors, a finding that has also been noted with other chemical classes of H<sub>3</sub> antagonists that bear at least two basic amino groups.<sup>5b,23</sup> A potential drawback of this class of compounds is that they may have the propensity to potently induce phospholipidosis. Lipophilic compounds incorporating basic amines have reportedly been associated with the ability to increase concentrations of phospholipids due to their ability to bind to negatively charged phospholipid bilayers and to inhibit the activities of lysosomal phospholipases by neutralizing the surface negative charges required by these enzymes for optimal activity.<sup>24</sup> Although lipophilic monoamines can also exhibit this propensity to an extent, the presence of a second amine greatly exacerbates the potential toxicity.<sup>25</sup> For this reason, and because of the aforementioned chemical instability seen in **11**, this compound and similar dibasic compounds were deemed to have characteristics detrimental to their suitability as drugs. This was one of the motivations behind the strategy of making aromatic- and heteroaromatic-substituted analogues of **11**, a process that served to reduce the basicity of the second amine and produce monocationic compounds, therefore reducing the propensity to induce phospholipidosis.

To test this hypothesis, compounds **11**, **6j**, and **6k** were evaluated in an in vitro assay for phospholipidosis.<sup>26</sup> The results are expressed as a "phospholipidosis index" (Chart 1), which was normalized to amiodarone, a compound with a known propensity to induce phospholipidosis<sup>27</sup> that served as a positive control and reference standard. By this measure, a value of 1.0 indicated that the compound induces phospholipidosis to the same extend as amiodarone (at 5  $\mu$ M). The

**Chart 1.** Normalized Phospholipidosis Index for Selected Compounds<sup>a</sup>



 $^a$  Results were normalized to a miodarone (at 5  $\mu \rm M$ ). Other compounds were tested at 18.75  $\mu \rm M$ , in primary cultures of rat hepatocytes.

**Table 4.** Rat Pharmacokinetic Properties of Selected  $H_3$ Antagonists<sup>*a*</sup>

	1 mg/kg iv dose				1 mg/kg po dose		
compd	$t_{1/2}$	AUC	$\mathrm{CL}_\mathrm{b}$	$t_{1/2}$	$C_{\max}$	AUC	F
5	5.7	666.8	1.54	6.7	39.6	426.2	61.8
6j	1.7	210.7	4.79	UC	7.1	18.9	9.0
6k	1.0	350.5	2.86	1.7	34.5	72.1	20.6
<b>7f</b>	0.3	35.9	28.50	UC	0.0	0.0	0.0
7d	3.7	355.4	2.82	4.8	16.2	103.2	29.0

 $^a$  1 mg/kg dose of each compound simultaneously in each rat. Units:  $t_{1/2}$  (h);  $C_{\rm max}$  (ng/mL); AUC (ng·h r/mL); F (%); CL<sub>b</sub> (L/h·kg);  $n\geq 2.$  UC: uncalculated.

phospholipidosis index for compound 11 was 1.22 at a concentration of  $18.75 \,\mu$ M, whereas the phospholipidosis index for compounds **6j** and **6k** were 0.57 and 0.42 at the same concentration. This provides experimental support for the original hypothesis: by removing one of the basic amines, the potential of inducing phospholipidosis may be greatly reduced.

The rat pharmacokinetic properties of selected compounds were also investigated, and the data are summarized in Table 4. While compound 5 displayed a favorable PK profile in rats, with an oral bioavailability of 61.8% and  $t_{1/2}$  of 6.7 h, none of the newly discovered compounds tested could match this profile. The new series 6 and 7 compounds all had shorter  $t_{1/2}$ , lower AUC, higher clearance rates, and lower oral bioavailability. It was suspected that the presence of a heteroatom (nitrogen) between the two aromatic moieties increased the polarity of the structure and provided an extra site for metabolism. It is possible that this change affected protein binding and blood PK, resulting in poorer PK properties and faster clearance when compared with the parent compound 5. On the other hand, in the case of **6k** and **7d**, which have an oral bioavailability of 21% and 29%, the PK profiles were considered adequate to support further studies.

In summary, highly potent (subnanomolar)  $H_3$  antagonists with balanced affinity at human and rat  $H_3$ receptors were discovered and several compounds showed significant improvements (5–11-fold) in binding potency compared with the benchmark compound (5). A wide variety of heterocycles were tolerated in both series, with compound **7h** being 9-fold and 11-fold more potent than compound **5** at human and rat receptors, respectively. Therefore, it was concluded that incorporation of flexible linker atoms between the benzofuran ring and aromatic substituent improved potency considerably, while also providing some analogues with acceptable PK properties.

#### **Experimental Section**

Chemistry Methods. Unless otherwise noted, all commercially available solvents, chemicals, and reagents were used without purification. Mass spectra were obtained on a Kratos MS-50 instrument, and unless otherwise indicated, all MS instruments were operated in the +APCI or +DCI mode to detect positively charged ions. Elemental analysis was performed by Robertson Microlit Laboratories, Inc., Madison, NJ. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 300 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ , ppm) are determined using TMS as internal standard. Abbreviations used in description of NMR spectra: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, dd =double doublet, dt = double triplet, m = multiplet, br = broad singlet. Flash column chromatography was performed with prepacked Biotage cartridges. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on 250  $\mu$ M SG-60F TLC plates from Merck. All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. Basic methanol was prepared by mixing 10% ammonium hydroxide in methanol.

4-Hydroxy-3-iodobenzonitrile (8). In a 1-L round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, 4-cyanophenol (10.0 g, 83.95 mmol) was dissolved in 450 mL of concentrated ammonium hydroxide. To this solution was added a mixture of potassium iodide (68.3 g, 411.3 mmol) and iodine (21.3 g, 83.95 mmol) in 100 mL of water quickly. The reaction mixture darkened immediately. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight during which it became a white suspension. The precipitate was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated. The solid was then dissolved in 500 mL of dichloromethane and washed with water  $(2 \times 350 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure to give the crude product 8 (14.2 g, 69%), which was used in the next step without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.97 (d, J =2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, J = 8.48, 2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J =8.48 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (s, 1H).

2-[2-(2-(R)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5carbonitrile (10). The above iodophenol 8 (10.0 g, 40.8 mmol), palladium(II) acetate (460 mg, 2.05 mmol), dicyclohexylbiphenylylphosphine (1.075 g, 3.07 mmol), and 510 mL of a 0.1 M solution of 1-but-3-ynyl-2-methylpyrrolidine 9 in acetonitrile were mixed to give a clear yellow solution. Triethylamine (57 mL, 408 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 15 min before copper(I) iodide (390 mg, 2.05 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was heated to and kept at 65 °C overnight. It was then cooled to room temperature and filtered through Celite to remove the insoluble material. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting oil was redissolved in 800 mL of dichloromethane, washed with 10% ammonium hydroxide (2  $\times$  500 mL), followed by brine, and then dried over sodium sulfate. The organic solution was filtered and evaporated to dryness to give the crude product. Purification by flash chromatography (1-5%) basic methanol in dichloromethane) gave the title compound as a yellow oil (5.84 g, 56%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.82 (s, 1 H), 7.49 (d, J =1.70 Hz, 2 H), 6.54 (s, 1 H), 3.18-3.33 (m, 2 H), 2.98-3.13 (m, 2 H), 2.39-2.63 (m, 2 H) 2.17-2.35 (m, 1 H), 1.91-2.06 (m, 1 H) 1.68-1.89 (m, 2 H) 1.40-1.58 (m, 1 H) 1.17 (d, J = 6.10Hz. 3 H)

C-{2-[2-(2-(R)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl}methylamine (11). The above nitrile 10 (5.80 g, 22.8 mmol) was hydrogenated at 60 psi of H<sub>2</sub> in 280 mL of 20% ammonium in methanol in the presence of 58.6 g of Raney nickel at room temperature for 2 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (5% basic methanol in dichloromethane) to give the desired product as a yellow oil (3.65 g, 63%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.41 (d, J = 1.23 Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.29 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (dd, J = 8.29, 1.84 Hz, 1 H), 6.40 (s, 1 H), 3.92 (s, 2 H), 3.15–3.25 (m, 2 H), 2.94–3.02 (m, 2 H), 2.44–2.52 (m, 1 H), 2.33–2.42 (m, 1 H), 2.21 (q, J = 8.90 Hz, 1 H), 1.88–1.99 (m, 1 H), 1.66–1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.58 (Br, NH2, 2 H), 1.38–1.50 (m, 1 H), 1.13 (d, J = 6.14 Hz, 3 H). Anal. (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O·0.3CH<sub>3</sub>OH) C, H, N.

General Procedures for the Coupling of Amine 11 with Aromatic Halides To Prepare Compounds 6. Method A. Compound 11 (50 mg, 0.194 mmol), aromatic halide (0.232 mmol), and triethylamine (81  $\mu$ L, 0.582 mmol) were mixed in 1 mL of ethanol. The mixture was stirred at 75 °C for 1–3 days. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with dichloromethane (3×). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by flash chromatography.

**Method B.** Compound **11** (50 mg, 0.194 mmol), aromatic halide (0.232 mmol), and diisopropylethylamine (101  $\mu$ L, 0.582 mmol) were mixed in 1 mL of dioxane. The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 1–3 days. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with dichloromethane (3×). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by flash chromatography.

**Method C.** Compound **11** (50 mg, 0.194 mmol), aromatic halide (0.233 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium-(0) (Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>) (9.0 mg, 0.0097 mmol), racemic-2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl (BINAP) (9.0 mg, 0.0145 mmol), and cesium carbonate (95 mg, 0.291 mmol) were placed in a reaction vessel and dried under vacuum for 2 h. Toluene (1 mL) was added and the mixture was heated at 100 °C overnight. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, quenched with water, and then extracted with dichloromethane (3×). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by flash chromatography.

{2-[2-(2-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl-methyl}(4-nitrophenyl)amine (6b). Compound 6b was prepared according to method A (22%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.05 (d, J = 8.90 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.29 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (dd, J = 8.29, 1.84 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, J = 9.21 Hz, 2H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 5.05 (br, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 5.52 Hz, 2H), 3.17–3.31 (m, 2 H), 3.02 (t, J = 7.67 Hz, 2 H), 2.39–2.59 (m, 2 H), 2.18–2.33 (m, 1 H), 1.89–2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.67–1.88 (m, 2 H), 1.41–1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.16 (d, J = 5.83 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.

**2,2,2-Trifluoro-1-[4-({2-[2-(2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylmethyl}amino)phenyl]ethanone (6c).** Compound **6c** was prepared according to method A (55%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.92 (d, J = 8.14 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, J = 8.48, 1.70 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (d, J = 9.16 Hz, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 4.87 (br, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 5.43 Hz, 2H), 3.15–3.30 (m, 2 H), 2.93–3.10 (m, 2 H), 2.33–2.57 (m, 2 H), 2.15–2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.90–2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.67–1.88 (m, 2 H), 1.48–1.62 (m, 1 H), 1.14 (m, 3 H). Anal. (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.

**3**-({**2**-(**2**-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-**5**-ylmethyl}amino)benzonitrile (6d). Compound 6d was prepared according to method C (13%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.03 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 8.48, 1.70 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.17–7.20 (m, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.46 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 4.34– 4.42 (m, 2 H), 4.25–4.32 (br, 1 H), 3.14–3.30 (m, 2 H), 2.93– 3.09 (m, 2 H), 2.33–2.58 (m, 2 H), 2.15–2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.89– 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.66–1.88 (m, 2 H), 1.39–1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.14 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O) H. C: calcd, 76.85; found, 76.26. N: calcd, 11.69; found, 7.76.

(6-Chloropyridazin-3-yl){2-[2-(2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylmethyl}amine (6e). Compound 6e was prepared according to method A (29%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.47 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.31, 1.86 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 9.15 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (d, J = 9.49 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 5.11 (br, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 5.76Hz, 2H), 3.15–3.29 (m, 2 H), 2.93–3.07 (m, 2 H), 2.33–2.58 (m, 2 H), 2.15–2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.90–2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.68–1.86 (m, 1 H), 1.39–1.63 (m, 2 H), 1.15 (d, J = 5.76 Hz, 3 H). Anal. (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OCl·0.2H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**3-**({2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-**5-ylmethyl**}amino)pyrazine-2-carbonitrile (6f). Compound 6f was prepared according to method A (63%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.25 (d, J = 2.37 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 2.37 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.31, 1.86 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 5.57 (br, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 5.43 Hz, 2H), 3.15–3.33 (m, 2 H), 2.95–3.10 (m, 2 H), 2.35–2.60 (m, 2 H), 2.15–2.31 (m, 1 H), 1.90–2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.69–1.85 (m, 1 H), 1.39–1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.16 (s, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5ylmethyl}pyrazin-2-ylamine (6g). Compound 6g was prepared according to method A (14%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.02 (dd, J = 2.71, 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 3.05 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.48Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.31, 1.87 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 4.89 (br, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 5.43 Hz, 2H), 3.15–3.29 (m, 2 H), 2.93– 3.10 (m, 2 H), 2.33–2.60 (m, 2 H), 2.13–2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.89– 2.05 (m, 1 H), 1.63–1.87 (m, 2 H), 1.38–1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O) C, H, N.

(5-Bromopyrimidin-2-yl){2-[2-(2-(R)-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylmethyl}amine (6h). Compound 6h was prepared according to method B (66%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.41 (s, 2H), 7.13 (dd, J = 8.48, 1.70 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 5.30 (br, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 5.43 Hz, 2H), 3.17–3.30 (m, 2 H), 2.97–3.09 (m, 2 H), 2.37–2.59 (m, 2 H), 2.18–2.31 (m, 1 H), 1.90–2.04 (m, 1 H), 1.66–1.89 (m, 2 H), 1.40–1.56 (m, 1 H), 1.16 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-OBr) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylmethyl}pyrimidin-5-ylamine (6i). Compound 6i was prepared according to method C (15%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.60 (s, 1H), 8.15 (s, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.22 (m, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 4.42 (d, *J* = 5.43 Hz, 2H), 4.13 (br, 1H), 3.15–3.31 (m, 2 H), 2.91–3.06 (m, 2 H), 2.33–2.57 (m, 2 H), 2.14–2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.88–2.04 (m, 1 H), 1.64–1.87 (m, 2 H), 1.37–1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.14 (d, *J* = 5.76 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O-0.15H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

(5-Ethylpyrimidin-2-yl){2-[2-(2-(R)-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylmethyl}amine (6j). Compound 6j was prepared according to method B (13%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.16 (s, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.14 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.48, 1.70 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 5.29 (br, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 2H), 3.14-3.28 (m, 2 H), 2.93-3.05 (m, 2 H), 2.47 (q, J = 7.80 Hz, 2H), 2.32-2.42 (m, 2 H), 2.15-2.28 (m, 1 H), 1.88-2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.65-1.85 (m, 2 H), 1.37-1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.63 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O-0.15dioxane) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5ylmethyl}pyrimidin-2-ylamine (6k). Compound 6k was prepared according to method B (55%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.30 (d, J = 4.75 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.14 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.31, 1.86 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (t, J = 4.75 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 5.37 (br, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 5.76 Hz, 2H), 3.14– 3.28 (m, 2 H), 2.93–3.05 (m, 2 H), 2.32–2.54 (m, 2 H), 2.13– 2.27 (m, 1 H), 1.89–2.01 (m, 1 H), 1.64–1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.37– 1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.14 (d, J = 5.76 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O· 0.16H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5ylmethyl}{5-nitrothiazol-2-yl)amine (6l). Compound 6l was prepared according to method C (11%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, J = 8.48, 1.70 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (br, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 3.16–3.30 (m, 2 H), 2.95–3.07 (m, 2 H), 2.34–2.57 (m, 2 H), 2.16–2.30 (m, 1 H), 1.89–2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.66–1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.39–1.54 (m, 1 H), 1.14 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H). LC/MS (AA method): m/z 386.7 (M + H). LC/MS (TFA method): m/z 386.7 (M + H).

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylmethyl}(3-nitropyridin-2-yl)amine (6m). Compound 6m was prepared according to method B (77%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.52 (br, 1H), 8.40–8.49 (m, 2 H), 7.49 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.17–7.30 (m, 1 H), 6.68 (dd, J = 8.14, 4.75 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 4.91 (d, J = 5.43 Hz, 2H), 3.14–3.34 (m, 2 H), 2.93–3.10 (m, 2 H), 2.35–2.60 (m, 2 H), 2.15–2.31 (m, 1 H), 1.68–2.03 (m, 3 H), 1.40–1.61 (m, 1 H), 1.17 (s, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.

(3,5-Dinitropyridin-2-yl){2-[2-(2-(R)-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylmethyl}amine (6n). Compound 6n was prepared according to method B (77%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.30 (d, J = 2.37 Hz, 1H), 9.24 (d, J = 2.71 Hz, 1H), 9.00 (br, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.14 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, J = 8.48, 1.70 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 5.00 (d, J = 5.76 Hz, 2H), 3.19–3.35 (m, 2 H), 2.96–3.16 (m, 2 H), 2.41–2.67 (m, 2 H), 2.16–2.36 (m, 1 H), 1.70–2.08 (m, 3 H), 1.47–1.65 (m, 1 H), 1.19 (s, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>5</sub>•0.4H<sub>2</sub>O) C, N. H: calcd, 5.54; found, 5.03.

**4-**({**2-**[**2-**(**2-**(*R***)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-<b>5-ylmethyl**}amino)pyridine-**2**,**6**-dicarbonitrile (60). Compound **60** was prepared according to method B (34%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.29 (s, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, J = 8.48, 2.03 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (s, 1H), 5.47 (br, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 5.76 Hz, 2H), 3.14–3.29 (m, 2 H), 2.94–3.06 (m, 2 H), 2.34–2.56 (m, 2 H), 2.14–2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.88–2.00 (m, 1 H), 1.65–1.87 (m, 2 H), 1.39–1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.15 (d, J = 5.42 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O·0.1CDCl<sub>3</sub>) C, H. N: calcd, 17.47; found, 16.80.

**2-**({**2-**[**2-**(**2-**(*R***)-<b>Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl**)**ethyl**]**benzofuran-5-ylmethyl**}**amino**)**nicotinonitrile** (**6p**). Compound **6p** was prepared according to method B (37%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.32 (dd, J = 4.92, 1.86 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, J = 7.63, 1.86 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dd, J = 8.48, 1.70 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (dd, J = 7.63, 4.92 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 5.43 (br, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 5.42 Hz, 2H), 3.14– 3.30 (m, 2 H), 2.94–3.08 (m, 2 H), 2.32–2.57 (m, 2 H), 2.12–2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.88–2.01 (m, 1 H), 1.65–1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.38–1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.14 (d, J = 5.42 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O· 0.12H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**6**-({**2**-[**2**-(**2**-(*R***)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-<b>5**-ylmethyl}amino)nicotinonitrile (6q). Compound 6q was prepared according to method B (39%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.39 (d, J = 1.70 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, J = 8.81, 2.37 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (dd, J = 8.48, 2.03 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (d, J = 0.68 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (dd, J = 8.81, 0.68 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (br, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 5.76 Hz, 2H), 3.14–3.29 (m, 2 H), 2.93–3.06 (m, 2 H), 2.32–2.55 (m, 2 H), 2.13–2.27 (m, 1 H), 1.87–2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.66–1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.37–1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.14 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O) C, H, N.

**2-Iodo-4-nitrophenol (12).** Compound **12** was prepared analogously to compound **8**. The reaction was run for 5 days at room temperature and was still not complete. Crude product was used in the next step without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOD-*d*<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 2.71 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 9.16, 2.71 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 9.16 Hz, 1H).

**2-**(*R*)-**Methyl-1-[2-(5-nitrobenzofuran-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidine (13).** Compound 13 was synthesized the same way as compound 10, using compound 12 as the starting material instead of compound 8. Since compound 12 is about a 1:1 mixture of 4-nitrophenol and 2-iodo-4-nitrophenol, the yield was not calculated. From 2.97 g of crude 12, 450 mg of pure product 13 was obtained. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.42 (d, J = 2.03Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, J = 8.82, 2.37 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 9.15Hz, 1H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 3.16–3.39 (m, 2H), 2.95–3.17 (m, 2H), 2.37–2.67 (m, 2H), 2.16–2.39 (m, 1H), 1.88–2.09 (m, 1H), 1.62–1.90 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.17 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H).

**2-[2-(2-(***R***)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5ylamine (14).** Compound **13** (2.15 g, 7.84 mmol) was dissolved in 55 mL of methanol, and 215 mg of 10% Pd/C was added. The compound was hydrogenated under 60 psi hydrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 16 h. After filtration, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (3% basic methanol in dichloromethane) to give the desired product as a yellow oil (1.41 g, 74%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.19 (d, J = 8.82 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 2.37 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dd, J = 8.65, 2.54 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 3.53 (br, 2 H), 3.12–3.29 (m, 2H), 2.89–3.01 (m, 2H), 2.31–2.55 (m, 2H), 2.13–2.28 (m, 1H), 1.87–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.64–1.85 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.55 (m, 1H), 1.14 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H).

**2-[2-(2-(***R***)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5ylamine (14).** Compound 14 can be made alternatively from compound 15. Compound  $15^{9a,20}$  (308 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>) (36 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5%), and dicyclohexylbiphenylylphosphine (42 mg, 0.12 mmol, 12%) were charged into a pressure tube which was evacuated and refilled with nitrogen. To this system was added LiHMDS (1.0M in THF, 1.2 mL, 1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and the mixture was heated at 65 °C for 16 h. It was quenched with 4 mL of 2 N HCl, basified with 6 mL of 2 N NaOH, and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give the crude product, which was then purified by flash chromatography using 5% basic methanol in dichloromethane to give the desired product (294 mg, 80%).

General Procedures for the Coupling of Compound 14 with Aromatic Halides To Prepare Compounds 7. Compounds 7a-7k were prepared analogously to 6a-6r, except using compound 14 as starting material instead of compound 11.

{**2-[2-(2-(***R***)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl}(2-nitrophenyl)amine (7a).** Compound **7a** was prepared according to method B (31%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.52 (s, 1H), 8.21 (dd, J = 8.65, 1.53 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.81 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 2.37 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.80 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, J = 8.65, 2.20 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 7.80 Hz, 1H), 6.68–6.76 (m, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 3.20–3.39 (m, 2H), 3.00–3.19 (m, 2H), 2.20–2.71 (m, 3H), 1.75–2.05 (m, 4H), 1.27 (d, J = 5.42 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.

**4-{2-[2-(2-(***R***)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylamino}benzonitrile (7b).** Compound 7b was prepared according to Method C (50%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.35–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.31 (d, J = 2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.65, 2.20 Hz, 1H), 6.80–6.87 (m, 2H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 3.19–3.38 (m, 2H), 3.06 (s, 2H), 2.41–2.67 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.38 (m, 1H), 1.69–2.09 (m, 4H), 1.20 (s, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O-0.22SiO<sub>2</sub>) C, H, N.

**3-{2-[2-(2-(***R***)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylamino}benzonitrile (7c).** Compound 7c was prepared according to Method C (43%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.82 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.10–7.12 (m, 1H), 7.06– 7.09 (m, 1H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, *J* = 8.65, 2.20 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H), 3.19–3.36 (m, 2H), 3.01–3.15 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.65 (m, 2H), 2.20–2.36 (m, 1H), 1.67–2.07 (m, 4H), 1.20 (s, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O) C, H, N.

(5-Ethylpyrimidin-2-yl){2-[2-(2-(R)-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl}amine (7d). Compound 7d was prepared according to method C (43%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.26 (s, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.41 (m, 1H),

7.22–7.31 (m, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 3.12–3.32 (m, 2H), 2.89–3.08 (m, 2H), 2.53 (q, J = 7.80 Hz, 2H), 2.32–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 1.88–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.55 (m, 1H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.63 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.10 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl}pyrimidin-2-ylamine (7e). Compound 7e was prepared according to method C (59%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.39 (d, *J* = 4.75 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 2.37 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 6.68 (t, *J* = 4.92 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 3.16–3.31 (m, 2H), 2.94–3.08 (m, 2H), 2.35–2.59 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.32 (m, 1H), 1.89–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.60–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.55 (m, 1H), 1.17 (d, *J* = 5.76 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O·0.12H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl}pyrazin-2-ylamine (7g). Compound 7g was prepared according method A (12%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.15 (d, *J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 8.04–8.10 (m, 1H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 2.71 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.81 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 8.65, 2.20 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 3.17–3.30 (m, 2H), 2.94–3.09 (m, 2H), 2.33–2.61 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.30 (m, 1H), 1.90–2.05 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.39–1.57 (m, 1H), 1.16 (d, *J* = 5.76 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O·0.12H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl}(5-nitropyridin-2-yl)amine (7h). Compound 7h was prepared according to method C (42%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.08 (d, J = 2.71 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, J = 9.16, 2.71 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.13 (dd, J = 8.48, 2.37 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 9.49 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 3.16–3.32 (m, 2H), 2.95–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.35–2.62 (m, 2H), 2.15–2.31 (m, 1H), 1.89–2.05 (m, 1H), 1.68–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.16 (d, J = 5.76 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.

**6-Methyl-2-{2-[2-(2-(***R***)-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-ylamino}nicotinonitrile (7j).** Compound 7j was prepared according to method B (76%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 7.76 (d, J = 7.80 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.82 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 8.82, 2.37 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.80 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 3.18–3.37 (m, 2H), 2.94–3.15 (m, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.27–2.60 (m, 3H), 1.62–2.14 (m, 4H), 1.20 (s, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O) C, H, N.

{2-[2-(2-(*R*)-Methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl}(5-trifluoromethylpyridin-2-yl)amine (7k). Compound 7k was prepared according to method C (38%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.41 (s, 1H), 7.61 (dd, J = 8.98, 2.54 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 2.03 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.14 (dd, J = 8.81, 2.37 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.82 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 3.27–3.45 (m, 2H), 3.04–3.24 (m, 2H), 2.54–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.50 (m, 1H), 1.68–2.17 (m, 4H), 1.27 (d, J = 5.42 Hz, 3H). Anal. (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>3</sub>OF<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.

**Primary Culture of Rat Hepatocytes.** Hepatocytes were isolated from male Sprague–Dawley rats and cultured as previously described.<sup>28</sup> Cells were plated in Phenol-Red serum-free modified DMEM (InVitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) media supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.3 mM of nonessential amino acids solution (InVitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 22  $\mu$ g/L gentamicin, 2 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 10 mM Hepes, and 2 mM D-fructose adjusted to pH 7.37 and filtered through a 0.22  $\mu$ m filter. Hepatocytes

were plated at a density of 60 000 cells/mL onto collagen-coated culture slide chambers (Becton Dickinson Labware, Bedford, MA) and incubated overnight at 37 °C and 5%  $CO_2$  until use in the phospholipidosis measurement.

Phospholipidosis Assay. Accumulation of phospholipids was assessed by quantitating the accumulation of a fluorescent probe N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)dipalmitoylphosphatidylethanolamine (NBD-PE, Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, OR) into lamellar bodies.<sup>26</sup> NBD-PE was suspended in ethanol and diluted to a concentration of 50  $\mu$ M in Phenol-Red serum-free modified DMEM media containing 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.3 mM of nonessential amino acids solution, 22 µg/L gentamicin, 2 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 10 mM Hepes, and 2 mM D-fructose adjusted to pH 7.4 with a final ethanol concentration of 0.46%. The mixture was placed into a sonicating water bath for 30 min and then filtered through a 0.22  $\mu m$  filter. Compounds were dissolved in DMSO and then diluted into the above culture medium to a final concentration of 18.8, 37.5, 75, or 150  $\mu$ M with a 0.1% final DMSO concentration. Hepatocytes were treated for 24 h with the test compounds or vehicle (0.1% DMSO) and 50  $\mu$ M NBD-PE in at 37 °C and 5%  $CO_2$ . Cells were washed three times with the above media (without NBD-PE), and then fixed with 3.5% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, and stored at 4 °C. Brightfield and fluorescence (NBD-PE, excitation 463/ emission 536) images were acquired using a Leica DMIRE inverted fluorescence microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) and Optronics DEI 750 videocamera (Goleta, CA). Quantitation of the cell area percent occupied by fluorescent granules in hepatocytes exposed to various concentrations of test compound (n = 2 wells per dose) was performed using Leica QWIN image analysis software. An increase in the area percent of fluorescence is used as a surrogate for phospholipidosis.<sup>29</sup> Results were normalized to amiodarone,<sup>27</sup> a known inducer of phospholipidosis used as a positive control, and expressed as a "phospholipidosis index". A value  $\geq 0.9$  indicated that the compound induces phospholipidosis to the same extent as amiodarone and is considered to be a potent inducer of phospholopidosis. Moderate inducers have a phopholipidosis index in the range of 0.50-0.90, and a value  $\leq 0.5$  is interpreted as a compound with minimal effect.

**Supporting Information Available:** Results from combustion analysis. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### References

- (a) Leurs, R.; Blandina, P.; Tedford, C.; Timmerman, H. Therapeutic potential of histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor agonists and antagonists. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **1998**, *19*, 177–183. (b) Leurs, R.; Bakker, R. A.; Timmerman, H.; de Esch, I. J. P. The histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor: From gene cloning to H<sub>3</sub> receptor drugs. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2005**, *4*, 107–120. (c) Ma, P.; Zemmel, R. Value of Novelty? *Nat. Rev Drug Discovery* **2002**, *1*, 571–572. (d) Hough, L. B. Genomics meets histamine receptors: New subtypes, new receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2001**, *59*, 415–419. (e) Leurs, R.; Hoffmann, M.; Wieland, K.; Timmerman, H. H<sub>3</sub> receptor gene is cloned at last. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2000**, *21*, 11–12.
- (2) (a) Oda, T.; Morikawa, N.; Saito, Y.; Masuho, Y.; Matsumoto, S. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel type of histamine receptor preferentially expressed in leukocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 2000, 275, 36781–36786. (b) Liu, C.; Pyati, J.; Li, X.; Chai, W.; Carruthers, N.; Lovenberg, T. W. Cloning and pharmacological characterization of a fourth histamine receptor (H<sub>4</sub>) expressed in bone marrow. Mol. Pharmacol. 2001, 59, 420–426.
- (3) (a) Schwartz, J. C.; Arrang, J. M.; Garbarg, M.; Pollard, H.; Ruat, M. Histaminergic transmission in the mammalian brain. *Physiol. Rev.* 1991, 71, 1–51. (b) Leurs, R.; Smit, M. J.; Timmerman, H. Molecular pharmacology aspects of histamine receptors. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 1995, 66, 413–463.
- (4) (a) Fox, G. B.; Pan, J. B.; Esbenshade, T. A.; Bennani, Y. L.; Black, L. A.; Faghih, R.; Hancock, A. A.; Decker, M. W. Effects of histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor ligands GT-2331 and ciproxifan in a repeated acquisition avoidance response in the spontaneously hypertensive rat pup. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2002, 131, 151–161.
  (b) Ligneau, X.; Lin, J.-S.; Vanni-Mercier, G.; Jouvet, M.; Muir,

J. L.; Ganellin, C. R.; Stark, H.; Elz, S.; Schunack, W.; Schwartz, J.-C. Neurochemical and behavioral effects of ciproxifan, a potent histamine  $H_3$ -receptor antagonist. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1998**, 287, 658–666.

- (5) (a) Fox, G. B.; Pan, J. B.; Radek, R. J.; Lewis, A. M.; Bitner, R. S.; Esbenshade, T. A.; Faghih, R.; Bennani, Y. L.; Williams, M.; Yao, B. B.; Decker, M. W.; Hancock, A. A. Two novel and selective nonimidazole H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists A-304121 and A-317920: II. In vivo behavioral and neurophysiological characterization. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2003, 305, 897–908. (b) Hancock, A. A.; Bennani, Y. L.; Bush, E. N.; Esbenshade, T. A.; Faghih, R.; Fox, G. B.; Jacobson, P.; Knourek-Segel, V.; Krueger, K. M.; Nuss, M. E.; Pan, J. B.; Shapiro, R.; Witte, D. G.; Yao, B. B. Antiobesity effects of A-331440, a novel nonimidazole histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2004, 487, 183–197. (c) Hancock, A. A.; Bushm, E. N.; Jacobson, P. B.; Faghih, R.; Esbenshade, T. A. A.; Bushm, E. N.; Sabenshade, S. A.; Bushmani, S. M.; Subenshade, S. A.; Bushmani, S. M.; Subario, S.; Witte, D. G.; Yao, B. B. Antiobesity effects of A-331440, a novel nonimidazole histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2004, 487, 183–197. (c) Hancock, A. A.; Bushm, E. N.; Jacobson, P. B.; Faghih, R.; Esbenshade, T. A.; Histamine H<sub>3</sub> antagonists in models of obesity. Inflammation Res. 2004, 53, S47–S48.
- (6) Yang, R.; Hey, J. A.; Aslanian, R.; Rizzo, C. A. Coordination of histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists with human adrenal cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Pharmacology* **2002**, *66*, 128–135.
- (7) (a) Meier, G.; Apelt, J.; Reichert, U.; Grassman, S.; Ligneau, X.; Elz, S.; Leurquin, F.; Ganellin, C. R.; Schwartz, J.-C.; Schunack, W.; Stark, H. Influence of imidazole replacement in different structural classes of histamine H<sub>3</sub>-receptor antagonists. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* **2001**, *13*, 249–259. (b) Faghih, R.; Dwight, W.; Pan, J. B.; Fox, G. B.; Krueger, K. M.; Esbenshade, T. A.; McVey, J. M.; Marsh, K.; Bennani, Y. L.; Hancock, A. A. Synthesis and SAR of aminoalkoxy-biaryl-4-carboxamides: Novel and selective histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 1325–1328.
- (8) (a). Chai, W.; Breitenbucher, J. G.; Kwok, A.; Li, X.; Wong, V.; Carruthers, N. I.; Lovenberg, T. W.; Mazur, C.; Wilson, S. J.; Axe, F. U.; Jones, T. K. Non-imidazole heterocyclic histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2003, 13, 1767–1770. (b) Sha, C.; McAtee, L.; Breitenbucher, J. G.; Rudolph, D.; Li, X.; Lovenberg, T. W.; Mazur, C.; Wilson, S. J.; Carruthers, N. I. Novel human histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2002, 12, 3309–3312.
- (9) (a) Cowart, M.; Faghih, R.; Curtis, M. P.; Gfesser, G. A.; Bennani, Y. L.; Black, L. A.; Pan, L.; Marsh, K. C.; Sullivan, J. P.; Esbenshade, T. A.; Fox, G. B.; Hancock, A. A. 4-(2-[2-(2*R*)-methylpyrolidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzofuran-5-yl)benzonitrile and related 2-aminoethylbenzofuran H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists potently enhance cognition and attention. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 38–55. (b) Esbenshade, T. A.; Fox, G. B.; Krueger, K. M.; Miller, T. R.; Kang, C. H.; Denny, L. I.; Witte, D.; Yao, B. B.; Pan, L.; Wetter, J.; Marsh, K.; Bennani, Y.; Cowart, M. D.; Sullivan, J. P.; Hancock, A. A. Pharmacological properties of ABT-239 [4-(2-{2-[(2R)-2-methylpyrolidinyl]ethyl}-benzofuran-5-yl)benzonitrile: I. Potent and selective histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist with drug-like properties. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2005, 313, 165–175.
- (10) Zubia, E.; Rodriguez Luis, F.; Massanet, G. M.; Collado, I. G. An efficient synthesis of furanocoumarins. *Tetrahedron* 1992, 48, 4239-4246.
- (11) White, J. D.; Amedio, J. C. Total synthesis of geodiamolide A, a novel cyclodepsipeptide of marine origin. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 736-738.
- (12) Sonogashira, K.; Tohda, Y.; Hagihara, N. A convenient synthesis of acetylenes: Catalytic substitutions of acetylenic hydrogen with bromoalkenes, iodoarenes, and bromopyridines. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *16*, 4467–4470.
- (13) Aquila, B. M. A new synthesis of 8-methylpsoralen utilizing a palladium-copper catalyzed reaction to generate the furan ring and thus allowing for the generation of novel analogs in the 5'position. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 2795–2798.
- (14) Torres, J. C.; Pilli, R. A.; Vargas, M. D.; Violante, F. A.; Garden, S. J.; Pinto, A. C. Synthesis of 1-ferrocenyl-2-aryl(heteroaryl)acetylenes and 2-ferrocenylindole derivatives via the Sonogashira-Heck-Cassar reaction. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 4487–4492.
- (15) Wolfe, J. P.; Buchwald, S. L. Improved functional group compatibility in the palladium-catalyzed amination of aryl bromides. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 6359–6362.
- (16) Ahman, J.; Buchwald, S. L. An improved method for the palladium-catalyzed amination of aryl triflates. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 6363-6366.
- (17) Harris, M. C.; Geis, O.; Buchwald, S. L. Sequential N-arylation of primary amines as a route to alkyldiarylamines. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6019–6022.
- (18) Edgar, K. J.; Falling, S. N. An efficient and selective method for the preparation of iodophenols. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5287-5291.

- (19) Mulholland, G. K.; Qi-Huang Zheng, Q. A direct iodination method with iodine and silver triflate for the synthesis of SPECT and PET imaging agent precursors. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 3059-3068.
- (20) Cowart, M.; Pratt, J. K.; Stewart, A. O.; Bennani, Y. L.; Esbenshade, T. A.; Hancock, A. A. A new class of potent nonimidazole H<sub>3</sub> antagonists: 2-Aminoethylbenzofurans. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 689–693.
- (21) (a) Huang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. New ammonia equivalents for the Pd-catalyzed amination of aryl halides. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3417-3419. (b) Harris, M. C.; Huang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. Improved functional group compatibility in the palladiumcatalyzed synthesis of arylamines. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2885-2888.
  (c) Lee, S.; Jorgensen, M.; Hartwig, J. F. Palladium-catalyzed synthesis of arylamines from aryl halides and lithium bis-(trimethylsilyl)amine as an ammonia equivalent. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2729-2732.
- (22) Esbenshade, T. A.; Krueger, K. M.; Miller, T. R.; Kang, C. H.; Denny, L. I.; Witte, D. G.; Yao, B. B.; Fox, G. B.; Faghih, R.; Bennani, Y. L.; Williams, M.; Hancock, A. A. Two novel and selective nonimidazole histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists A-304121 and A-317920: I. In vitro pharmacological effects. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2003, 305, 887–896.
- (23) (a) Apodaca, R.; Dvorak, C. Á.; Xiao, W.; Barbier, A. J.; Boggs, J. D.; Wilson, S. J.; Lovenberg, T. W.; Carruthers, N. I. A new class of diamine-based human histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists: 4-(Aminoalkoxy)benzylamines. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 3938-3944. (b) Barbier, A. J.; Berridge, C.; Dugovic, C.; Laposki, A. D.; Wilson, S. J.; Boggs, J.; Aluisio, L.; Lord, B.; Mazur, C.; Pudiak, C. M.; Langlois, X.; Xiao, W.; Apodaca, R.; Carruthers, N. I.; Lovenberg, T. W. Acute wake-promoting actions of JNJ-2507852, a novel, diamine-based H<sub>3</sub> antagonist. Br. J. Pharmacol, 2004, 143, 649-661. (c) Beavers, L. S.; Gadski, R. A.; Hipskind, P. A.; Lindsley, C. W.; Lobb, K. L.; Nixon, J. A.; Pickard, R. D.; Schaus, J. M.; Takakuwa, T.; Watson, B. M. Nonimidazole aryl alkylamines compounds as histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists, preparation and therapeutic uses. US patent application, US 2004/0110748 A1. (d) Beavers, L. S.; Finley, D. R.; Gadski, R. A.; Hipskind, P. A.; Jesudason, C. D.; Pickard, R. D.; Stevens, F. C. Histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists, preparation and therapeutic uses. PCT patent application WO 2004/026837 A2.
- (24) (a) Mingeot-Leclercq, M. P.; Laurent, G.; Tulkens, P. M. Biochemical mechanism of aminoglycoside-induced inhibition of phosphatidylcholine hydrolysis by lysosomal phospholipases. *Biochem.* Pharmacol. **1988**, *37*, 591–599. (b) Mingeot-Leclercq, M. P.; Schanck, A.; Ronveaux-Dupal, M. F.; Deleers, M.; Brasseur, R.; Ruysschaert, J. M.; Tulkens, P. M. Ultrastructural, physicochemical and conformational study of the interactions of gentamicin and bis(beta-diethylaminoethylether)hexestrol with negatively charged phospholipid bilayers. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1989**, *38*, 729–741.
- (25) Montenez, J. P.; Van Bambeke, F.; Piret, J.; Brasseur, R.; Tulkens, P. M.; Mingeot-Leclercq, M. P. Interactions of macrolide antibiotics (erythromycin A, roxithromycin, erythromycylamine [dirithromycin], and azithromycin) with phospholipids: Computeraided conformational analysis and studies on acellular and cell culture models. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **1999**, *156*, 129–140.
- (26) (a) Ulrich, R. G.; Kilgore, K. S.; Sun, E. L.; Cramer, C. T.; Ginsburg, L. C. An in vitro fluorescence assay for the detection of drug-induced cytoplasmic lamellar bodies. *Toxicol. Methods* **1991**, *1*, 89–105. (b) Gum, R. J.; Hickman, D.; Fagerland, J. A.; Heindel, M. A.; Gagne, G. D.; Schmidt, J. M.; Michaelides, M. R.; Davidsen, S. K.; Ulrich, R. G. Analysis of two matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors and their metabolites for induction of phospholipidosis in rat and human hepatocytes. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2001**, *62*, 1661–1673.
- Pharmacol. 2001, 62, 1661–1673.
  (27) Mortuza, G. B.; Neville, W. A.; Delaney, J.; Waterfield, C. J.; Camilleri, P. Characterization of a potential biomarker of phospholipidosis from amiodarone-treated rats. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2003, 1631, 136–146.
- (28) (a) Elliget, K. A.; Kolaja, G. J. Preparation of primary cultures of rat hepatocytes suitable for in vitro toxicity testing. J. Tissue Culture Methods 1983, 8, 1–6. (b) Seglen, P. O. Preparation of isolated rat liver cells. Methods Cell Biol. 1976, 13, 29–83.
- (29) Gagne, G. D.; Gum, R.; Jolly, R. A.; Heindel, M. A.; Fagerland, J. A. A quantitative image-based in vitro assay for induction of phospholipidosis in hepatocytes. *Microsc. Microanal.* 2002, 8 (Suppl. 2), 740CD-741CD.

JM0504398